

Founded 1997 as MaxImage!

April 2008  
Volume 11, Number 5

Editor/Publisher  
James Hyder

Associate Editor  
William Hyder

Circulation Manager  
Thomas Jacobs

Published 11 times a year by  
Cinergetics, LLC, and distributed  
by first class mail.  
Printed in the USA.

ISSN 1532-5504

Subscriptions: US\$377  
Outside North America: US\$427  
All payments must be made in  
US funds.

Substantial discounts are avail-  
able for multiple subscriptions to  
the same address.

Editorial Offices  
5430 Lynx Lane, #223  
Columbia, MD 21044-2302 USA  
Tel: 410-997-2780  
editor@LFexaminer.com

© 2008 by Cinergetics, LLC  
All rights reserved. No portion  
of this publication may be repro-  
duced by any means without  
written permission of the copy-  
right holder.

**Copyright Warning and Notice**  
It is a violation of U.S. and  
international copyright laws to  
reproduce all or part of this  
publication or its contents by  
any means. The U.S. Copyright  
Act imposes liability of up to  
\$150,000 per issue for such  
infringement.

Information concerning illicit  
duplication will be gratefully  
received.

Individuals and organizations  
wishing to reprint articles from *LF  
Examiner* must obtain written  
permission from us in advance.

The opinions of contributors are  
not necessarily those of *LF Ex-  
aminer* or its parent company,  
Cinergetics, LLC.

Trademarks referred to in *LF  
Examiner* are the property of their  
respective owners.

# The Insiders

**This month's focus:**  
**Digital Cinema**

## Digital Cinema or Film?

by Steven J. Thorburn, PE

Having just returned from Sho-  
West, the annual west coast  
cinema trade show, where films are  
screened and theater seats, theater  
concessions, and theater projection  
systems are displayed, I only saw two  
vendors on the floor who had the  
courage to exhibit film projectors. All  
the others, NEC, Sony, Barco, and  
Christie, were pushing digital projec-  
tors.

I have been a fan of digital projec-  
tion ever since 1996, when I had the  
privilege of working on the Los Ange-  
les InfoComm Special Event Theater,  
said to be the first public screening of  
digital cinema. The entire visual sys-  
tem was digital up to the point the  
image left the projector. The content,  
stored on digital videotape, was sent  
straight to a Hughes/JVC D-ILA  
light-valve projector. Attendees were  
stunned by this promising new audio-  
visual medium.

Digital cinema has come a long  
way since and has some excellent  
applications for theaters, but still  
isn't the best choice for every situa-  
tion. There are benefits: print costs  
go away, the last run of the show is  
just as good as the first, a multiplex  
can quickly reschedule theaters based  
on today's ticket sales.

But for theaters with screens wider  
than 20 feet (6 meters), you pay a  
price in image quality. Today's DCI-  
compliant systems supply what I  
would describe as only slightly better  
than really good broadcast TV, and  
only one-fourth to one-tenth of the  
picture information you could see in  
the typical 35mm movie house. (DCI  
is the Digital Cinema Initiative, de-  
veloper and keeper of standards for  
the industry.)

I could spend the rest of the article  
discussing what parts of the image are  
being thrown away. Instead, here's an  
analogy: Think back about 15 years,  
to the dot matrix printer. You may  
have had one connected to your com-  
puter. If you shelled out big bucks  
you could even get a color dot matrix

printer. Remember what a printed  
page of words looked like? At around  
24 dots per inch, it was readable, but  
nothing like the quality of text that  
you are reading now.

The needs of museums, educa-  
tional institutions, and conference  
venues differ from those of the cine-  
plex, and digital cinema as it is right  
now does not always meet them.  
DCI-compliant projectors get you  
2,048 dots, or pixels, across the width  
of your projected image, whether  
your screen is 20 feet wide or 100  
feet (6 meters or 30 meters). The  
equivalent resolution in film, de-  
pending on the physical size of the  
film stock (from 16mm all the way up  
to 15/70) is in the range of 8,000 to  
20,000 pixels across the width of the  
screen. Going back to the printer  
analogy, would you buy the argument  
that your current high-res color laser  
printer should be replaced with an  
old dot matrix?

DCI has done a great job in setting  
the bar as high as possible for right  
now. And right now, for best results,  
the image size in a digital cinema  
auditorium should be limited to  
about 20 feet wide. And the best  
seats in the house are going to be at  
least 20 feet away; any closer and a  
critical viewer can see the dots that  
make up the image. This is based on  
my observations of numerous film  
and digital projection systems over  
the last 30 years, as projectionist and  
system engineer, including my experi-  
ence in the certification of LF the-  
aters.

Why can't DCI set the bar higher  
right now? It's the chip. The indus-  
try's primary chipmaker, Texas In-  
struments, currently makes a 2K  
(1080x2048-pixel) chip. The image  
on the chip is updated between 24  
and 144 times per second. The high-  
est frame rate permits single-projector  
3D by flashing each frame of each eye  
three times (24x2x3=144).

Of course, the industry is working  
to improve image quality. Sony has a  
4K system. JVC has a process that  
claims even higher resolution, shown  
last year at the National Association  
of Broadcasters' conference. Some

systems blend images from multiple  
projectors. (At least one firm claims it  
can blend 100 projectors together —  
think about the commission on that  
sale! Better yet, buy stock in the lamp  
manufacturer before you sign the  
deal.) Some fresh advances may be  
unveiled at NAB's Digital Cinema  
Summit in Las Vegas this month.

Getting back to today's DCI-  
compliant projector, for the sake of  
easy math, let's say it has 2,000 hori-  
zontal pixels, and we project it onto  
an 80-foot-wide giant screen. That  
gives 25 pixels per foot, or about two  
pixels per inch. Put the same image  
on a 40-foot wide multiplex screen:  
50 dots per foot or 4.2 dots per inch.  
Better, but I still would not want to  
be sitting in the front row. In film  
terms, you would have a very grainy  
image. So if you want to provide an  
immersive experience showing the  
breathtaking vistas of Africa or outer  
space, or a visually detailed nature,  
history, or science documentary, for  
now you should stick with film.

On the other hand, if you are in-  
stalling or updating a small, 40- to  
200-seat theater, then let's look at  
digital. On a screen 9 feet high by 16  
feet wide (3 meters by 5 meters), you  
will have about 120 pixels per foot,  
depending on the projection system  
you select. This is much better than  
the "grainy" 25 pixels per foot in the  
giant-screen example above. But note  
that it doesn't compete with the qual-  
ity of good home theater. It is a far  
cry from the 480 pixels per foot that  
give me a picture window to the  
world on the digital flat panel in my  
home.

The advantage of a small, digital  
theater system with DCI-compliant  
projectors is that it makes a great,  
versatile screening room with options  
far beyond what you get with a film  
projector. Content changeovers are  
very fast. A good laptop can drive the  
system. Your theater becomes a space  
you could rent out for corporate  
meetings, educational sessions, or  
other purposes. If the projector has a  
DVI input port and the computer  
has the same output (anything sold in

(see *INSIDER* on page 16)

*(from INSIDER on page 2)*

the last 18 months does), the system is even more versatile. Digital cinema also greatly simplifies 3D presentation, and 3D is hot right now. There are systems on the market that will allow you to change over from 3D to 2D in seconds.

One might assume that 3D projection puts twice the information on the screen, because it is transmitting separate images for the left eye and right eye. But for practical reasons, single-projector 3D systems reduce the amount of information in each frame, with the result that the visual quality is roughly the same as 2D. And 3D has other issues, one being it reduces the number of seats from which the audience can

get an optimal view. To make the most of a 3D installation, be sure that it also gives a good 2D experience.

So what should you buy? When working with our clients, we always start our line of questions with a statement: Do not think about the technology. Tell us what you want to do in your venue. What are the program needs for the space? If you have a mission, what requirement does that bring to the table?

If you have a small theater that has multi-use needs or if you can produce your own show in full high-def video, then a digital projection system is what to look for. If, however, you want or need high-quality visual resolution and color depth,

these are still much more attainable in the realm of film.

*Steve Thorburn of Thorburn Associates Inc. is an independent acoustical and technology consultant and a licensed professional engineer. In the 1980s he worked on projects for George Lucas, Dolby Labs, and Disney as a project engineer developing and overseeing the acoustical design and construction of film production studios and screening rooms. In the mid-1990s he provided quality certification of LF theaters. He can be reached at Steve@TA-Inc.com.*

© 2008 by Thorburn Associates. Reprinted with permission from IPM Magazine, [www.inparkmagazine.com](http://www.inparkmagazine.com), and Thorburn Associates.